

03/31/00

JC598 U.S. PTO

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☒Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0032  
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**UTILITY  
PATENT APPLICATION  
TRANSMITTAL**

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b))

Attorney Docket No. **ACH2696**First Inventor or Application Identifier **Frans L. Plantenga**Title **Process for Effecting Ultra-Deep HDS**Express Mail Label No. **EM571038419US****APPLICATION ELEMENTS**

See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.

1. ☒ \* Fee Transmittal Form (e.g., PTO/SB/17)  
(Submit an original and a duplicate for fee processing)
2. ☒ Specification [Total Pages **23**]  
(preferred arrangement set forth below)
- Descriptive title of the Invention
  - Cross References to Related Applications
  - Statement Regarding Fed sponsored R & D
  - Reference to Microfiche Appendix
  - Background of the Invention
  - Brief Summary of the Invention
  - Brief Description of the Drawings (if filed)
  - Detailed Description
  - Claim(s)
  - Abstract of the Disclosure
3. ☐ Drawing(s) (35 U.S.C. 113) [Total Sheets ☐
4. Oath or Declaration (unsigned) [Total Pages **3**]
- a. ☐ Newly executed (original or copy)
  - b. ☐ Copy from a prior application (37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d))  
(for continuation/divisional with Box 16 completed)
  - i. ☐ **DELETION OF INVENTOR(S)**  
Signed statement attached deleting  
inventor(s) named in the prior application,  
see 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).

\* NOTE FOR ITEMS 1 & 13: IN ORDER TO BE ENTITLED TO PAY SMALL ENTITY  
FEES, A SMALL ENTITY STATEMENT IS REQUIRED (37 C.F.R. § 1.27), EXCEPT  
IF ONE FILED IN A PRIOR APPLICATION IS RELIED UPON (37 C.F.R. § 1.28).

ADDRESS TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Box Patent Application  
Washington, DC 20231

5. ☐ Microfiche Computer Program (Appendix)
6. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission  
(if applicable, all necessary)
- a. ☐ Computer Readable Copy
  - b. ☐ Paper Copy (identical to computer copy)
  - c. ☐ Statement verifying identity of above copies

**ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS**

7. ☐ Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))
8. ☐ 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b) Statement ☐ Power of  
(when there is an assignee) ☐ Attorney
9. ☐ English Translation Document (if applicable)
10. ☐ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449 ☐ Copies of IDS  
Citations
11. ☐ Preliminary Amendment
12. ☒ Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503)  
(Should be specifically itemized)
13. ☐ \* Small Entity Statement(s) ☐ Statement filed in prior application,  
(PTO/SB/09-12) ☐ Status still proper and desired
14. ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  
(if foreign priority is claimed)
15. ☐ Other: .....

**16. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box, and supply the requisite information below and in a preliminary amendment:**☒ Continuation ☐ Divisional ☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No: \_\_\_\_\_

Prior application information: Examiner \_\_\_\_\_ Group / Art Unit: \_\_\_\_\_

**For CONTINUATION or DIVISIONAL APPS only:** The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which an oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b, is considered a part of the disclosure of the accompanying continuation or divisional application and is hereby incorporated by reference. The incorporation can only be relied upon when a portion has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts.

**17. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS**☐ Customer Number or Bar Code Label

(Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here)

or ☒ Correspondence address below

Name	<b>Louis A. Morris</b>				
	<b>Akzo Nobel Inc.</b>				
Address	<b>Intellectual Property Department</b>				
	<b>Dobbs Ferry</b>				
City	<b>Dobbs Ferry</b>	State	<b>NY</b>	Zip Code	<b>10522-3408</b>
Country	<b>U.S.A.</b>	Telephone	<b>(312) 906-7769</b>	Fax	<b>(312) 906-7771</b>

Name (Print/Type)	<b>Louis A. Morris</b>	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	<b>28,100</b>
Signature	<i>Louis A. Morris</i>	Date	<b>3/30/00</b>

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.

## PROCESS FOR EFFECTING ULTRA-DEEP HDS OF HYDROCARBON FEEDSTOCKS

### 5 CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

The present application claims priority of European Patent Application No 99201016.5, filed on April 2, 1999 and U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/127,778, filed on April 5, 1999.

### 10 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### 1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to a process for effecting ultra-deep hydrodesulfurization (HDS) of hydrocarbon feedstocks.

#### 15 2. Prior Art

In an effort to regulate SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the burning of fuels and to optimise the performance of tail-end catalysts, in particular exhaust treatment catalysts, the regulations as to the sulfur content of fuels, in particular diesel fuels, are becoming more and more strict. In Europe diesel feedstocks will be required as  
20 of 2000 to have a sulfur content below 350 ppm, while as of 2005, the sulfur content should be below 50 ppm, with even further decreases not being excluded.

Consequently, there is an increasing need for catalyst systems which can decrease the sulfur content of a hydrocarbon feedstock with a 95% boiling point of  
25 450°C or less to below 200 ppm, preferably below 100 ppm, even more preferably below 50 ppm, calculated by weight as elemental sulfur on the total liquid product.

In the context of the present specification the term ultra-deep HDS means the reduction of the sulfur content of a hydrocarbon feedstock to a value of less

than 200 ppm, preferably less than 100 ppm, and even more preferably to a value of less than 50 ppm, calculated by weight as elemental sulfur on the total liquid product, as determined in accordance with ASTM D-4294. The indications Group VIB and Group VIII correspond to the Periodic Table of Elements applied by  
5 Chemical Abstract Services (CAS system).

The problem associated with effecting this ultra-deep HDS is that the only sulfur compounds still present in the feed are those which are very difficult to remove. Depending on their source, petroleum fractions can comprise various types  
10 of sulfur compounds. In middle distillate fractions, the major sulfur components are benzothiophenes and dibenzothiophenes. In straight-run materials significant quantities of other components are present, such as thiophenes, mercaptanes, sulfides and disulfides. Of these, the sulfides and disulfides are the most reactive, followed by the thiophenes, benzothiophenes, and dibenzothiophenes. Within the  
15 group of dibenzothiophenes some components are more reactive than others. In consequence, in conventional HDS, in which the sulfur level is reduced to a value of, say, about 0.3 wt.%, the sulfides and thiophenes are removed. In deep HDS, to a sulfur level of, say, 200-500 ppm, the benzothiophenes are removed. The only compounds remaining then are a limited number of alkylated benzothiophenes, with  
20 the alkyldibenzothiophenes which have the alkyl on the 4- or 6- position being particularly difficult to remove.

It has also been found that the reaction mechanisms by which these very refractive sulfur compounds are decomposed is different from that by which the less  
25 refractive compounds are decomposed. This is evidenced, e.g., by the fact that the catalysts which are known as particularly suitable for HDS appear to function less well in ultra-deep HDS. For example, conventionally cobalt-molybdenum catalysts are more active in HDS than nickel-molybdenum catalysts. However, for ultra-deep

HDS it has been found that nickel-molybdenum catalysts show better results than cobalt-molybdenum catalysts. Reference is made to the paper entitled "Ultra low sulfur diesel: Catalyst and Process options" presented at the 1999 NPRA meeting by T. Tippet, et al.

5

The consequence of this difference in reaction mechanisms implies that the refiner who is faced with having to produce material with a lower sulfur content cannot just apply his usual hydrodesulfurization catalyst under more stringent conditions. On the contrary, he will have to specifically select the hydrotreating catalyst which is most effective in effecting ultra-deep HDS. This is the more so since the reaction conditions necessary to effect ultra-deep HDS are rather severe in themselves, and the use of a better catalyst makes it possible to select less severe reaction conditions, resulting in energy saving and a longer catalyst lifespan.

10

15

We have found that a catalyst which before sulfidation comprises a Group VIB metal component, a Group VIII metal component, and an organic additive is very efficient in reducing the sulfur content of a hydrocarbon feedstock to a value of less than 200 ppm. Additionally, it has appeared that this catalyst makes it possible to effect ultra-deep HDS in combination with improved nitrogen removal, total aromatics removal, and removal of polynuclear aromatics.

20

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In brief summary, the present invention, in one embodiment, is directed to a process for reducing the sulfur content of a hydrocarbon feedstock to a value of less than 200 ppm, comprising subjecting a catalyst comprising a Group VIB metal component, a Group VIII metal component, and an organic additive on a carrier to a sulfidation step, and contacting a feedstock with a 95% boiling point of 450°C or less and a sulfur content of 500 ppm or less with the sulfided catalyst under

25

conditions of elevated temperature and pressure to form a product with a sulfur content of less than 200 ppm.

In another embodiment, the present invention is directed to a two-step process for converting a starting feedstock having a sulfur content of above 0.1 wt.% into a product having a sulfur content of 200 ppm or less, wherein the process comprises sulfidation of a first and a second catalyst comprising a Group VIB metal component, a Group VIII metal component, and an organic additive on a carrier, contacting a feedstock with a 95% boiling point of 450°C or less and a sulfur content of 0.1 wt.% or more with the first sulfided catalyst under conditions of elevated temperature and pressure to form a product with a sulfur content of less than 500 ppm, and contacting the effluent from the first catalyst, optionally after fractionation or intermediate phase separation, with the second sulfided catalyst under conditions of elevated temperature and pressure to form a product with a sulfur content of less than 200 ppm.

Other embodiments of the invention encompass details about feedstocks, catalyst and additive compositions, etc., all of which are hereinafter disclosed in the following discussion of each of the facets of the present invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

### The catalyst

Catalysts comprising a Group VIB metal component, a Group VIII metal component, and an organic additive are known to the art.

For example, European patent application 0 601 722 describes a process for preparing a catalyst in which a gamma-alumina support is impregnated with an impregnation solution comprising a Group VIB metal component, a Group VIII metal

component, and an organic additive which is at least one compound selected from the group of compounds comprising at least two hydroxyl groups and 2-10 carbon atoms, and the (poly)ethers of these compounds.

5 WO 96/41848 describes a process in which an additive-containing catalyst is prepared by incorporating the additive mentioned above into a finished catalyst composition. That is, a catalyst composition comprising hydrogenation metal components in the oxidic form, brought into that form by calcination, is contacted with the specified additive.

10

Japanese patent application 04-166231 describes a process for preparing a hydrotreating catalyst in which a support is impregnated with an impregnation solution comprising a Group VIB metal component, a Group VIII metal component, and, optionally, a phosphorus component. The support is dried at a temperature  
15 below 200°C, contacted with a polyol, and then dried again at a temperature below 200°C.

Japanese patent application 04-166233 describes substantially the same process as the above-mentioned patent application, except that instead of a polyol  
20 an alkoxycarboxylic acid is used.

Japanese patent application 06-339635 describes a process in which a support is impregnated with an impregnation solution comprising an organic acid, Group VIB and Group VIII hydrogenation metal components, and preferably a  
25 phosphorus component. The impregnated support is dried at a temperature below 200°C. The dried impregnated support is contacted with an organic acid or polyol, after which the thus treated support is dried at a temperature below 200°C.

Japanese patent application 06-210182 describes a process for preparing a catalyst in which a boria-alumina support comprising 3-15 wt.% of boria is impregnated with an impregnation solution comprising a Group VIB metal component, a Group VIII metal component, and a polyol. The impregnated support  
5 is dried at a temperature of 110°C to form a catalyst.

Non-prepublished European patent application No. 99201051.2 in the name of Akzo Nobel filed on April 8, 1999, describes a catalyst containing an organic compound comprising N and carbonyl.

10

In principle, any catalyst prepared by any process according to any one of the above references or otherwise which comprises a Group VIB hydrogenation metal, a Group VIII hydrogenation metal, and an organic additive on a carrier is suitable for use in the process of the present invention. As Group VIB metals may  
15 be mentioned molybdenum, tungsten, and chromium. Group VIII metals include nickel, cobalt, and iron. In the ultra-deep HDS process of the present invention, it is preferred to use a catalyst comprising molybdenum as Group VIB metal component and nickel and/or cobalt as Group VIII metal component. The use of nickel as Group VIII metal component is particularly preferred.

20

The catalyst usually has a metal content in the range of 0.1 to 50 wt.%, calculated on the dry weight of the catalyst not containing the additive. The Group VIB metal will frequently be present in an amount of 5-30 wt.%, preferably 15-25 wt.%, calculated as trioxide. The Group VIII metal will frequently be present in an  
25 amount of 1-10 wt.%, preferably 2-6 wt.%, respectively, calculated as monoxide. If so desired, the catalyst may also contain other components, such as phosphorus, halogens, and boron. Particularly, the presence of phosphorus in an amount of 1-10 wt.%, calculated as  $P_2O_5$ , can be preferred.

The catalyst carrier may comprise the conventional oxides, e.g., alumina, silica, silica-alumina, alumina with silica-alumina dispersed therein, silica-coated alumina, magnesia, zirconia, boria, and titania, as well as mixtures of these oxides.

5 As a rule, preference is given to the carrier comprising alumina, silica-alumina, alumina with silica-alumina dispersed therein, or silica-coated alumina. Special preference is given to the carrier consisting essentially of alumina or alumina containing up to 20 wt.% of silica, preferably up to 10 wt.%. A carrier containing a transition alumina, for example an eta, theta, or gamma alumina is preferred within  
10 this group, with a gamma-alumina carrier being especially preferred.

The catalyst's pore volume (measured via mercury penetration) is not critical to the process according to the invention and will generally be in the range of 0.25 to 1 ml/g. The specific surface area is not critical to the process according to the  
15 invention either and will generally be in the range of 50 to 400 m<sup>2</sup>/g (measured using the BET method). Preferably, the catalyst will have a median pore diameter in the range of 7-15 nm, as determined by mercury porosimetry (contact angle 130°), and at least 60% of the total pore volume will be in the range of  $\pm 2$  nm from the median pore diameter. This data is determined on the catalyst after it has been  
20 calcined for one hour at a temperature of 500°C.

The catalyst is suitably in the form of spheres or extrudates. Examples of suitable types of extrudates have been disclosed in the literature (see, int. al., US 4 028 227). Highly suitable for use are cylindrical particles (which may be hollow or  
25 not) as well as symmetrical and asymmetrical polylobed particles (2, 3 or 4 lobes).

The organic additive present in the catalyst to be used in the process according to the invention before sulfidation may be any organic additive.



Preferably the organic additive is selected from the group of compounds comprising at least two oxygen atoms and 2-10 carbon atoms and the compounds built up from these compounds. Organic compounds selected from the group of compounds comprising at least two oxygen-containing moieties, such as a carboxyl, carbonyl or hydroxyl moieties, and 2-10 carbon atoms and the compounds built up from these compounds are preferred. Examples of suitable compounds include citric acid, tartaric acid, oxalic acid, malonic acid, malic acid, butanediol, pyruvic aldehyde, glycolic aldehyde, and acetaldol. At this point in time, preference is given to an additive that is selected from the group of compounds comprising at least two hydroxyl groups and 2-10 carbon atoms per molecule, and the (poly)ethers of these compounds. Suitable compounds from this group include aliphatic alcohols such as ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, glycerin, trimethylol ethane, trimethylol propane, etc. Ethers of these compounds include diethylene glycol, dipropylene glycol, trimethylene glycol, triethylene glycol, tributylene glycol, tetraethylene glycol, tetrapentylene glycol. This range can be extrapolated to include polyethers like polyethylene glycol. For this last compound, polyethylene glycol with a molecular weight between 200 and 600 is preferred. Other ethers which are suitable for use in the present invention include ethylene glycol monobutyl ether, diethylene glycol monomethyl ether, diethylene glycol monoethyl ether, diethylene glycol monopropyl ether, and diethylene glycol monobutyl ether. Of these, ethylene glycol, diethylene glycol, triethylene glycol, tetraethylene glycol, propylene glycol, dipropylene glycol and polyethylene glycol with a molecular weight between 200 and 600 are preferred.

Another group of compounds comprising at least two hydroxyl groups and 2-10 carbon atoms per molecule are the saccharides. Preferred saccharides include monosaccharides such as glucose and fructose. Ethers thereof include

disaccharides such as lactose, maltose, and saccharose. Polyethers of these compounds include the polysaccharides.

A further group of additives are those compounds comprising at least one covalently bonded nitrogen atom and at least one carbonyl moiety. Examples  
 5 include aminopolycarboxylic acids, such as nitrilo-triacetic acid and diethylene-triamine-pentaacetic acid. In this case the organic compound preferably comprises at least two nitrogen atoms and preferably at least two carbonyl moieties. It is further preferred that at least one carbonyl moiety is present in a carboxyl group. It is furthermore preferred that at least one nitrogen atom is covalently bonded to at  
 10 least two carbon atoms. A preferred organic compound is a compound satisfying formula (I)



wherein R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>1'</sub> and R<sub>2'</sub> are independently selected from alkyl, alkenyl, and  
 15 allyl with up to 10 carbon atoms optionally substituted with one or more groups selected from carbonyl, carboxyl, ester, ether, amino, or amido. R<sub>3</sub> is an alkylene group with up to 10 carbon atoms which may be interrupted by -O- or -NR<sub>4</sub>-. R<sub>4</sub> is selected from the same group as indicated above for R<sub>1</sub>. The R<sub>3</sub> alkylene group may be substituted with one or more groups selected from carbonyl, carboxyl,  
 20 ester, ether, amino, or amido. As has been set out above, it is essential that the organic compound of formula (I) comprises at least one carbonyl moiety.

Preferably, at least two of R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>1'</sub> and R<sub>2'</sub> have the formula - R<sub>5</sub> - COOX, wherein R<sub>5</sub> is an alkylene group having 1-4 carbon atoms, and X is  
 25 hydrogen or another cation, such as an ammonium, a sodium, a potassium, and/or a lithium cation. If X is a multivalent cation, one X can adhere to two or more - R<sub>5</sub> - COO groups. Typical examples of such a compound are

ethylenediamine(tetra)acetic acid (EDTA), hydroxyethylenediaminetriacetic acid, and diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid.

5 It is possible to use a single compound or a combination of compounds as additive.

10 The amount of additive present in the catalyst before sulfidation depends on the specific situation. It was found that the appropriate amount of additive generally lies in the range of 0.01-2.5 moles of additive per mole of hydrogenation metals present in the catalyst. If the amount of additive added is too low, the advantageous effect of the present invention will not be obtained. On the other hand, the addition of an exceptionally large amount of additive will not improve the effect of the present invention. It is well within the scope of the person skilled in the art to determine the optimum amount of additive to be used in each specific situation, 15 depending also on the solubility and the viscosity of the additive.

20 The way in which the additive is incorporated into the catalyst composition is at present considered not critical to the process according to the invention. The additive can be incorporated into the catalyst composition prior to, subsequent to or simultaneously with the incorporation of the hydrogenation metal components.

25 For example, the additive can be incorporated into the catalyst composition prior to the hydrogenation metal components by being added to the carrier before the hydrogenation metal components are. This can be done by mixing the additive with the carrier material before it is shaped, or by impregnating the shaped carrier material with the additive.

It is also possible to incorporate the additive into the catalyst composition simultaneously with the hydrogenation metal components. This can be done, e.g., by mixing the additive and the hydrogenation metal components with the carrier material before shaping. However, a preferred way to incorporate the additive into the catalyst composition simultaneously with the hydrogenation metal components is by impregnating the carrier with an impregnation solution comprising the hydrogenation metal components and the additive, followed by drying under such conditions that at least part of the additive is maintained in the catalyst. This is the process described in EP 601 722.

It is also possible to incorporate the additive into the catalyst composition subsequent to the hydrogenation metal components. This can be done, e.g., by first incorporating the hydrogenation metal components into the catalyst composition, e.g., by mixing them with the carrier material or by impregnating the carrier with them, optionally followed by drying and/or calcining, and subsequently incorporating the additive, e.g., by impregnation. A preferred embodiment of this process is the embodiment described in WO 96/41848, in which first a catalyst composition is prepared by incorporating hydrogenation metal components into a catalyst composition, e.g., by impregnation of a carrier, after which the catalyst is subjected to a calcination step to convert the hydrogenation metal components into their oxides, followed by incorporating the additive into the catalyst composition by impregnation. In this embodiment it is possible, e.g., to composite a conventional hydrotreating catalyst comprising a hydrogenation metal component on a carrier with the additive. The conventional hydrotreating catalyst used in this process can be either a freshly prepared hydrotreating catalyst or a used hydrotreating catalyst which has been regenerated.

At present the catalysts prepared by the processes described in EP 0601 722 and WO 96/41848 are considered preferred.

The sulfidation step

5           The first step of the process according to the invention is to subject the additive-containing hydrotreating catalyst to a sulfiding step. In the context of the present specification, the indication sulfiding step or sulfidation step is meant to include any process step in which at least a portion of the hydrogenation metal components present in the catalyst is converted into the sulfidic form, either directly  
10 or after an activation treatment with hydrogen.

Suitable sulfidation processes are known in the art. *Ex situ* sulfidation processes take place outside the reactor in which the catalyst is to be used in hydrotreating hydrocarbon feeds. In such a process the catalyst is contacted with a sulfur compound, e.g. a polysulfide or elemental sulfur, outside the reactor and, if  
15 necessary, dried. In a second step, the material is treated with hydrogen gas at elevated temperature in the reactor, optionally in the presence of a feed, to activate the catalyst, i.e. bring it into the sulfided state.

*In situ* sulfidation processes take place in the reactor in which the catalyst is  
20 to be used in hydrotreating hydrocarbon feeds. Here, the catalyst is contacted in the reactor at elevated temperature with a hydrogen gas stream mixed with a sulfiding agent, such as hydrogen sulfide or a compound which under the prevailing conditions is decomposable into hydrogen sulfide. It is also possible to use a hydrogen gas stream combined with a hydrocarbon feed comprising a sulfur  
25 compound which under the prevailing conditions is decomposable into hydrogen sulfide. In the latter case it is possible to use a hydrocarbon feed comprising an added sulfiding agent (a so-called spiked feed), but it is also possible to use a sulfur-containing hydrocarbon feed without any added sulfiding agent, since the

sulfur components present in the feed will be converted into hydrogen sulfide in the presence of the catalyst. The hydrocarbon feed may be the feed to be subjected to ultra-deep HDS in the process according to the invention, but it may also be a different feed, later to be replaced with the feed to be subjected to ultra-deep HDS.

- 5 Combinations of the various sulfiding techniques may also be applied.

In the context of the present invention it is presently preferred to sulfide the catalyst by contacting it with an, optionally spiked, hydrocarbon feed.

10 The feed

The feedstock suitable for use in the process according to the invention has a 95% boiling point, as determined in accordance with ASTM D-2887, of 450°C or less, preferably 420°C or less, more preferably 400°C or less. That is, 95 vol.% of the feedstock boils at a temperature of 450°C or less, preferably 420°C or less, more preferably 400°C or less. Generally, the initial boiling point of the feedstock is above 100°C, preferably above 180°C. The feed contains less than 500 ppm of sulfur, preferably 150-500 ppm of sulfur.

The feedstock to be used in the process according to the invention may be prepared by hydrodesulfurization of starting hydrocarbon feedstocks containing 0.1 wt.% or more of sulfur, preferably 0.2 to 3.5 wt.% of sulfur, more preferably 0.5 to 2.0 wt.% of sulfur. This starting feedstock generally has a 95% boiling point, as determined in accordance with ASTM D-2887, of 450°C or less, preferably 420°C or less, more preferably 400°C or less. Generally, the initial boiling point of the feedstock is above 100°C, preferably above 180°C. The feedstock generally contains 20-1200 ppm nitrogen, preferably 30-800 ppm, more preferably 70-600 ppm. The metal content of the feedstock preferably is less than 5 ppm, more preferably less than 1 ppm (Ni+V). Examples of suitable starting feedstocks are

feedstocks comprising one or more of straight run gas oil, light catalytically cracked gas oil, and light thermally cracked gas oil.

The above-mentioned starting hydrocarbon feedstock is subjected to hydrodesulfurization to reduce its sulfur content to a value below 500 ppm. This hydrodesulfurization process can be carried out using conventional hydrodesulfurization catalysts comprising a Group VIB metal component, a Group VIII metal component, and, optionally, phosphorus on a carrier comprising alumina. Suitable hydrodesulfurization catalysts are commercially available, and include for example KF 756 and KF 901 of Akzo Nobel. It is also possible to obtain the feedstock for the process according to the invention from a starting feedstock containing more sulfur by means of a two-step process, such as those described in EP 0 464 931, EP-A 0 523 679 or EP 870 807.

Additionally, it is also possible to obtain the feedstock for the process of the invention from the above-mentioned starting feedstock by using an additive-based catalyst. The present invention thus also relates to a two-step process for converting a starting feedstock having a sulfur content of above 0.1 wt.% into a product having a sulfur content of 200 ppm or less, wherein the process comprises sulfidation of a first and a second catalyst comprising a Group VIB metal component, a Group VIII metal component, and an organic additive on a carrier, contacting a feedstock with a 95% boiling point of 450°C or less and a sulfur content of 0.1 wt.% or more with the first sulfided catalyst under conditions of elevated temperature and pressure to form a product with a sulfur content of less than 500 ppm, and contacting the effluent from the first catalyst, optionally after fractionation or intermediate phase separation, optionally including removal of H<sub>2</sub>S and NH<sub>3</sub> formed, with the second sulfided catalyst under conditions of elevated

temperature and pressure to form a product with a sulfur content of less than 200 ppm.

In this process, the first and second catalysts containing an organic additive before sulfidation may be the same or different. It is considered preferred at this point in time for the first catalyst to comprise molybdenum as Group VIB metal component and cobalt and/or nickel as Group VIII metal component, with the second catalyst comprising molybdenum as Group VIB metal component and nickel as Group VIII metal component. The two-step process can be carried out in one or two reactors, as may be desired.

#### The process conditions

The process according to the invention is carried out at elevated temperature and pressure. The temperature generally is 200-450°C, preferably 280-430°C. The reactor inlet hydrogen partial pressure generally is 5-200 bar, preferably 10-100 bar, more preferably 10-50 bar. The liquid hourly space velocity preferably is between 0.1 and 10 vol./vol.h, more preferably between 0.5 and 4 vol./vol.h. The H<sub>2</sub>/oil ratio generally is in the range of 50-2000 NI/I, preferably in the range of 80-1000 NI/I.

The process conditions are selected in such a way that the sulfur content of the total liquid effluent is less than 200 ppm, preferably less than 100 ppm, more preferably less than 50 ppm. The exact process conditions will depend, int. al., on the nature of the feedstock, the desired degree of hydrodesulfurization, and the nature of the catalyst. In general, a higher temperature, a higher hydrogen partial pressure, and a lower space velocity will decrease the sulfur content of the final product. The selection of the appropriate process conditions to obtain the desired



sulfur content in the product is well within the scope of the person skilled in the art of hydroprocessing.

The following examples are presented to illustrate the process of this invention. The examples are not intended to unduly restrict the scope and spirit of the claims attached hereto.

Example 1: Nickel-molybdenum catalysts in ultra-deep HDS

10 Preparation of additive-containing catalyst

Extrudates of a gamma-alumina carrier were impregnated to pore volume saturation with an impregnation solution comprising a molybdenum compound, a nickel compound, phosphoric acid, and diethylene glycol, after which the impregnated carrier was dried at a temperature of 140°C for a period of 16 hours.

15 The final catalyst contained 20 wt.% of molybdenum, calculated as trioxide, 5 wt.% of nickel, calculated as oxide, and 5 wt.% of phosphorus, calculated as  $P_2O_5$ . All weight percentages are calculated on the dry catalyst base, not including the additive. The molar ratio between DEG and the total of Ni and Mo is 0.4.

20 Preparation of comparative catalyst

A catalyst was prepared in the manner described above, except that the impregnation was carried out in the absence of DEG, and that the impregnated catalyst was subjected to a calcination step at 420°C for 1 hour.

The catalyst had the same composition as that described above, except for the absence of DEG.

The two catalysts were tested side by side in an upflow tubular reactor. Each reactor tube contained 75 ml of catalyst homogeneously intermixed with 70 ml of carborundum particles.

- 5 The catalysts were sulfided using an SRLGO in which dimethyl disulfide had been dissolved to a total S content of 2.5 wt.%.

The feed applied was a diesel feedstock with the following properties.

Nitrogen (ASTM D-4629) (ppmwt)	28
Sulfur (ASTM D-4294) (ppmwt)	219
Density 15°C (g/ml)	0.8490
Dist. (°C)	ASTM D-2887*
IBP	161
5 vol.%	203
10 vol.%	222
30 vol.%	265
50 vol.%	292
70 vol.%	320
90 vol.%	360
95 vol.%	378
FBP	423

- 10 The catalysts were tested under five test conditions. Conditions 1 and 3 are the same. Conditions 4 and 5 differ from Condition 1 in terms of the pressure applied. Condition 2 differs from the first test condition in that the feed was spiked with 1 wt.% of S, added as dimethyl disulfide and 100 ppm N added as t-butyl amine. This was done to simulate the conditions halfway down a commercial unit,
- 15 where the feed contacting the catalyst contains ammonia and hydrogen sulfide generated in the first part of the unit.

The reaction was carried out at a temperature of 323°C, a H<sub>2</sub>/oil ratio of 300N/I, and a LHSV of 2.0 h<sup>-1</sup>. The reaction time and pressure are listed in the following table.

Condition	Feed	Pressure (bar)	Time (h)
1	F1	30	48
2	F1 + S + N	30	24
3	F1	30	24
4	F1	60	24
5	F1	15	48

The products from the different runs were analyzed. The results thereof are  
5 given below.

#### Sulfur analysis of products obtained

Condition	ppm S in the product produced by the catalyst according to the invention	ppm S in the product produced by the comparative catalyst
1	20	48
2	79	102
3	12	35
4	< 5	< 5
5	37	72

It appears that the catalyst according to the invention shows a much improved sulfur removal.

10

#### Nitrogen analysis of products obtained

Condition	ppm N in the product produced by the catalyst according to the invention	ppm N in the product produced by the comparative catalyst
1	< 5	8
2	< 5	5
3	< 5	< 5
4	< 5	< 5
5	15	20

It appears that the catalyst according to the invention shows an improved nitrogen removal.

Total aromatics analysis of total liquid product

Condition	wt.% aromatics in the product produced by the catalyst according to the invention	wt.% aromatics in the product produced by the comparative catalyst
1	35.7	36.7
2	36.0	36.5
3	35.5	36.5
4	28.7	32.9
5	37.1	37.3

5

It appears that the catalyst according to the invention shows improved aromatics removal under all conditions.

Polynuclear aromatics (PNA) analysis of total liquid product

Condition	wt.% PNA in the product produced by the catalyst according to the invention	wt.% PNA in the product produced by the comparative catalyst
1	4.5	4.8
2	4.9	4.9
3	4.4	4.6
4	1.6	2.2
5	8.3	8.4

10

It appears that the catalyst according to the invention shows improved polynuclear aromatics removal.

Example 2: Cobalt-molybdenum catalysts in ultra-deep HDS

A set of comparative catalysts which are comparable to those of Example 1, except that the catalysts contained cobalt instead of nickel, was prepared and tested. It appeared that also in this comparison the catalyst according to the invention, which before sulfiding contained an additive, showed better results than the comparative catalyst, albeit that both for the catalyst according to the invention and for the comparative catalyst the results of the nickel-containing catalyst of Example 1 were better than the results of the comparable cobalt-containing catalyst of this example.

## CLAIMS

- 5 1. A process for reducing the sulfur content of a hydrocarbon feedstock to a value of less than 200 ppm, comprising subjecting a catalyst comprising a Group VIB metal component, a Group VIII metal component, and an organic additive on a carrier to a sulfidation step, and contacting a feedstock with a 95% boiling point of 450°C or less and a sulfur content of 500 ppm or less with the sulfided catalyst under conditions of elevated temperature and pressure to form a product with a sulfur content of less than 200 ppm.
- 10 2. The process of claim 1 wherein the sulfur content of the product is less than 50 ppm.
- 15 3. The process of claim 1 wherein the organic additive is at least one compound selected from the group consisting of compounds comprising at least two hydroxyl groups and 2-10 carbon atoms, and the (poly)ethers of these compounds.
- 20 4. The process of claim 3 wherein the additive is at least one compound selected from the group consisting of ethylene glycol, diethylene glycol, triethylene glycol, tetraethylene glycol, propylene glycol, dipropylene glycol and polyethylene glycol with a molecular weight between 200 and 600.
- 25 5. The process of claim 3 wherein the additive is a saccharide or a polysaccharide.

6. The process of claim 1 wherein the additive comprises a compound comprising at least one covalently bonded nitrogen atom and at least one carbonyl moiety.

5 7. The process of claim 1 wherein the sulfidation step is carried out *in situ*, optionally using the feed which is to be subjected to ultra-deep HDS with the sulfided catalyst.

10 8. A two-step process for converting a starting feedstock having a sulfur content of above 0.1 wt.% into a product having a sulfur content of 200 ppm or less, wherein the process comprises sulfidation of a first and a second catalyst comprising a Group VIB metal component, a Group VIII metal component, and an organic additive on a carrier, contacting a feedstock with a 95% boiling point of 450°C or less and a sulfur content of 0.1 wt.% or more with the first sulfided catalyst under conditions of elevated temperature and pressure to form a product with a sulfur content of less than 500 ppm, and contacting the effluent from the first catalyst, optionally after fractionation or intermediate phase separation, with the second sulfided catalyst under conditions of elevated temperature and pressure to form a product with a sulfur content of less than 200 ppm.

15 20 25 9. The process of claim 8 wherein the first catalyst comprises molybdenum as Group VIB metal component and cobalt and/or nickel as Group VIII metal component, while the second catalyst comprises molybdenum as Group VIB metal component and nickel as Group VIII metal component.

## ABSTRACT

The invention pertains to a process for reducing the sulfur content of a hydrocarbon feedstock to a value of less than 200 ppm, preferably less than 50 ppm, which comprises subjecting a catalyst comprising a Group VIB metal component, a Group VIII metal component, and an organic additive on a carrier to a sulfidation step, and contacting a feedstock with a 95% boiling point of 450°C or less and a sulfur content of 500 ppm or less with the sulfided catalyst under conditions of elevated temperature and pressure to form a product with a sulfur content of less than 200 ppm. The organic additive preferably is at least one compound selected from the group of compounds comprising at least two hydroxyl groups and 2-10 carbon atoms, and the (poly)ethers of these compounds.



As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **PROCESS FOR EFFECTING ULTRA-DEEP HDS OF HYDROCARBON FEEDSTOCKS**

☒ is attached hereto, and/or

I acknowledge to the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Sec. 1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Sec. 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

99201016.5 EUROPE 02 APRIL 1999 X Yes \_\_\_ No  
(Number) (Country) (Day/Month/Year)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code § 119 of any provisional application(s) listed below:

60/127,778	United States	05 APRIL 1999
Appln. Ser. No.	Country	Day/Month Year

(Appln. Ser. No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status: patented, pending, abandoned)
-------------------	---------------	--

Louis A. Morris, Reg. No. 28,100	Richard P. Fennelly, Reg. No. 25,677
Ralph J. Mancini, Reg. No. 34,054	David H. Vickrey, Reg. No. 30,697
Lainie E. Parker, Reg. No. 36,123	Joan M. McGillycuddy, Reg. No. 35,608

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Sec. 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Inventor's signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Residence Amersfoort, Netherlands

Citizenship Dutch

Post Office Address Stationsplein 4, 3818 LE Amersfoort, Netherlands

Full name of second inventor, if any Franciscus Wilhelmus Van Houtert

Inventor's signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Residence Amsterdam, Netherlands

Citizenship Dutch

Post Office Address Nieuwendammerkade 1-3, 1022 AB Amsterdam, Netherlands

Full name of third inventor, if any Johannes Wilhelmus Maria Sonnemans

Inventor's signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Residence Amersfoort, Netherlands

Citizenship Dutch

Post Office Address Stationsplein 4, 3818 LE Amersfoort, Netherlands